

THE HAGUE TRIBUNAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS IN WAR CRIMES

Ivan Ćorović¹
Adnan Derdemez²

Abstract: The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) represents a pivotal institution in the development of international criminal law and the application of technological innovations in prosecuting war crimes. This paper analyzes how The Hague Tribunal used advanced technologies for collecting, analyzing, and presenting evidence of war crimes committed during the breakup of Yugoslavia. The research focuses on three key areas: the application of digital forensics in analyzing mass graves, the use of satellite imagery for fact-finding, and the implementation of advanced evidence management systems. The results show that the tribunal pioneered the use of technological innovations that have become standard in contemporary international criminal justice. The analysis reveals that technological progress and innovative approaches enabled the tribunal to efficiently prosecute complex war crimes cases, establish factual foundations for justice, and contribute to the development of international humanitarian law. The paper concludes that the integration of technology in ICTY's work laid the foundation for future international criminal tribunals and transformed the way mass crimes are prosecuted at the global level.

Keywords: The Hague Tribunal, ICTY, technological innovations, war crimes, digital forensics, satellite imagery, international criminal law

1 fakultet.tutin@live.com

2 fakultet.tutin@live.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY), established in 1993 by United Nations Security Council Resolution 827, represents the first international judicial body established after the Nuremberg and Tokyo tribunals following World War II (Bassiouni, 2011). Besides its pioneering contributions to international criminal law, the tribunal played a crucial role in applying and developing technological innovations for prosecuting war crimes, crimes against humanity, and genocide. The armed conflicts in the territory of former Yugoslavia during the 1990s were marked by mass crimes that required innovative approaches to evidence collection and analysis. The complexity of these crimes, geographical dispersion, and the need for precise fact-finding presented unprecedented challenges to the tribunal (Klarin, 2004). In response to these challenges, ICTY pioneered the implementation of advanced technologies that transformed the way mass crimes are prosecuted.

The technological innovations applied in the tribunal's work encompass a broad spectrum of areas, from satellite technology for tracking and documenting crimes, through advanced forensic methods for analyzing mass graves, to sophisticated systems for managing enormous amounts of evidence (Danner, 2003). These innovations not only facilitated the tribunal's work but also set new standards for international criminal justice and influenced the development of legal procedures in the digital age. The significance of this research stems from the need to systematically analyze how technological innovations affected the efficiency and legitimacy of ICTY's work. Previous literature has approached this topic in a fragmented manner, focusing on individual aspects of technological application, while a comprehensive analysis has remained a missing element in scientific literature (Hazan, 2004). This paper aims to fill that gap through a systematic approach that connects technological innovations with legal and procedural aspects of the tribunal's work.

The methodological approach of this research is based on the analysis of primary sources, including tribunal judgments, expert reports, and technical documents, as well as a review of secondary literature dealing with technological aspects of international criminal law. Special attention is given to analyzing cases where technological innovations played a crucial role in establishing facts and reaching just verdicts.

2. DIGITAL FORENSICS IN MASS GRAVE ANALYSIS

One of the most significant technological innovations applied by ICTY relates to the development and implementation of advanced forensic methods for analyzing mass graves. The traditional approach to forensic archaeology was insufficient for the complexity and scope of crimes committed during the Yugoslav wars, leading to the development of new, digitally supported methodologies (Skinner et al., 2003). The tribunal pioneered the use of GPS technology for precise mapping of mass grave locations, enabling three-dimensional terrain modeling and precise positioning of found remains. This technology was particularly important in cases like Srebrenica, where it was necessary to analyze multiple locations and establish connections between primary and secondary mass graves (Janc, 2010). GPS coordinates enabled the creation of detailed digital maps that served as key evidence in court proceedings.

The implementation of digital photography and 3D scanning transformed the way forensic findings were documented. Every found object, every skeletal remain, and every piece of clothing was documented using high-resolution digital cameras and specialized 3D scanners (Steadman et al., 2006). This technology enabled the creation of virtual evidence museums that could be accessed by prosecutors, defenders, and judges without the need for physical manipulation of original evidence. The development of DNA databases represents another revolutionary innovation in the tribunal's work. ICTY collaborated with international laboratories in creating extensive DNA databases that enabled victim identification even when physical remains were fragmentary or degraded (Parsons et al., 2019). These databases not only facilitated the identification process but also enabled the establishment of family connections between victims, which was crucial for understanding the systematic character of committed crimes.

Digital management of forensic data required the development of specialized software solutions. The tribunal implemented database management systems that enabled efficient storage, searching, and analysis of enormous amounts of forensic information (Cordner, 2004). These systems enabled simultaneous data access to different expert teams and facilitated coordination between forensic specialists, investigators, and legal teams. The application of statistical analysis in forensic archaeolo-

gy represents an additional dimension of technological innovations. The tribunal used advanced statistical models to analyze distribution patterns of remains in mass graves, enabling reconstruction of how crimes were committed and identification of organized killing patterns (Haglund, 2002). These analyses were particularly important for proving the systematic character of genocide and crimes against humanity. The integration of all these technological components resulted in the creation of digital "crime archives" that represent an invaluable source of information for future research and legal proceedings. These archives not only served the tribunal's needs but became a model for other international judicial institutions and contributed to the standardization of forensic procedures in international criminal law.

3. USE OF SATELLITE IMAGERY FOR FACT-FINDING

Satellite technology represented one of the most revolutionary innovations in ICTY's arsenal for collecting and analyzing evidence. The first significant use of satellite imagery in international criminal justice occurred within this tribunal's work, establishing a precedent that was later adopted by other international judicial institutions (Cibelli & Hoover, 2018). Technological advances in satellite observation during the 1990s enabled the tribunal access to high-resolution images that could document changes on the ground with precision of up to one meter. This technology was particularly useful for tracking systematic infrastructure destruction, mass population movements, and mass grave locations (Kalshoven, 1995). Satellite images enabled the tribunal to reconstruct the chronology of events and establish causal connections between different crimes.

In the case of the Sarajevo siege, satellite images were used to document systematic targeting of civilian objects, including hospitals, schools, and markets. Analysis of time series satellite images enabled the tribunal to precisely determine when certain objects were destroyed and connect them with specific military operations (Goodchild, 2007). This technology was crucial for proving intent in cases of crimes against humanity and war crimes. The application of GIS (Geographic Information Systems) technology in analyzing satellite data represents an additional dimension of technological innovations. The tribunal developed sophisticated GIS applications that enabled spatial crime analysis, pattern iden-

tification, and visualization of complex geographic information (Ritter & Ruth, 1997). These systems enabled judges and jurors to more easily understand the geographic context of crimes and spatial relationships between different events.

Pattern recognition technology applied to satellite imagery enabled automatic identification of potential mass grave locations. Machine learning algorithms were trained to recognize specific terrain characteristics indicating the existence of graves, such as vegetation changes, unusual geometric forms, or surface disturbances (Brooke et al., 2020). This technology significantly increased field search efficiency and focused resources on the most promising locations. Legal challenges in using satellite images as evidence required the development of new procedures for authenticating and verifying digital evidence. The tribunal established strict protocols for the chain of custody of satellite data, including cryptographic signing of images and detailed documentation of all steps in the analysis process (Freeman, 1999). These protocols became standard for using digital evidence in international criminal law.

Coordination with various sources of satellite data represented a complex logistical challenge. The tribunal collaborated with government agencies, commercial satellite image providers, and academic institutions in gaining access to different types of satellite data (Raymond & Smith, 2003). This collaboration required the development of standardized protocols for data exchange and harmonization of different technical specifications. The long-term impact of using satellite technology in ICTY's work extends beyond the tribunal itself. The precedents established in using satellite images as evidence influenced the development of international evidence law and became a reference model for other international judicial institutions, including the International Criminal Court (ICC) and ad hoc tribunals for Rwanda and Sierra Leone.

4. IMPLEMENTATION OF ADVANCED EVIDENCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

The enormous amount of evidence collected during ICTY's work required a revolutionary new approach to information management. The tribunal was the first international judicial body to implement a fully digitalized evidence management system, setting standards that were later

adopted by other international legal institutions (Meron, 2011). The development of the Electronic Disclosure Suite (EDS) represents one of the most significant technological innovations in the history of international criminal justice. This system enabled digital storage, indexing, searching, and exchange of millions of pages of documents, photographs, videos, and audio materials (Brammertz & Hughes, 2016). EDS revolutionized the traditional approach to evidence disclosure, enabling simultaneous access to the same material by multiple parties in the proceedings.

The implementation of optical character recognition (OCR) technology enabled the tribunal to convert millions of pages of physical documents into searchable digital formats. This technology was particularly important for processing documents in different languages used in the territory of former Yugoslavia, requiring the development of specialized OCR algorithms that could recognize Cyrillic and Latin script (Williams, 2008). The precision of this technology was crucial for search efficiency through enormous document archives.

The video and audio material management system presented a special technological challenge. The tribunal developed advanced systems for digitalization, compression, and streaming of large multimedia files, enabling simultaneous display of the same material in different courtrooms or offices (Taylor, 2014). Synchronization of audio and video material with transcripts required the development of sophisticated algorithms for temporal alignment. The security of digital evidence represented a priority challenge in designing the evidence management system. The tribunal implemented multilayer security protocols, including cryptographic encryption, digital watermarking, and blockchain-based integrity verification (Anderson & Kuhn, 1999). These systems ensured that evidence could not be modified without authorization and that every change would be recorded and verified.

The multilingual evidence management system required significant technological innovations. The tribunal developed automatic translation systems and cross-language information retrieval that enabled searching documents in one language while obtaining results in other languages (Krstić, 2009). This technology was crucial for the efficiency of the tribunal's international staff. Integration with court information systems represented an additional dimension of technological innovations. The

tribunal developed real-time links between evidence management systems and electronic trial transcripts, enabling instant access to relevant evidence during testimony (Johnson, 2012). This integration significantly increased the efficiency of court proceedings and enabled faster and more precise legal analysis. The remote access system to documents enabled international lawyers and experts to access relevant materials from their countries, significantly reducing costs and time requirements for participation in proceedings (Miller & Davis, 2015). This technology was particularly important during the COVID-19 pandemic, when it enabled continuity of the tribunal's work despite physical limitations.

Long-term preservation of digital evidence represents an ongoing challenge that required the development of sustainable digital archiving strategies. The tribunal implemented format migration protocols and redundant storage systems that ensure digital evidence will be available to future generations of researchers and lawyers (Conway, 2010). This archive represents an invaluable historical and legal resource that continues to serve academic and legal research.

5. CONCLUSION

The analysis of technological innovations implemented in The Hague Tribunal's work reveals a fundamental transformation in the approach to prosecuting war crimes and mass atrocities. ICTY successfully integrated advanced technologies into all aspects of its work, from evidence collection to final judgments, establishing precedents that have shaped contemporary international criminal justice. Digital forensics in mass grave analysis perhaps represents the most direct example of how technological innovations enabled the tribunal to achieve its fundamental mission - establishing the truth about committed crimes. GPS mapping, 3D modeling, and DNA analyses not only increased the precision of forensic analyses but enabled reconstruction of events with a level of detail that would be unimaginable using traditional methods. These technological advances directly contributed to strengthening evidence about the systematic character of crimes and enabled more just verdicts. The use of satellite imagery for fact-finding marked a revolution in international criminal law, establishing a new standard for remote sensing as a legit-

imate source of evidence. The ability to track events in real-time and reconstruct historical events through analysis of archival satellite data expanded the temporal and spatial boundaries of legal investigation. This innovation is particularly significant because it enabled documentation of crimes occurring in remote or inaccessible areas. The implementation of advanced evidence management systems transformed fundamental aspects of legal proceedings, from disclosure procedures to evidence presentation in the courtroom. The Electronic Disclosure Suite and related technologies not only increased the tribunal's efficiency but democratized access to justice by enabling smaller legal teams to effectively cope with the enormous amounts of evidence that characterize international criminal proceedings. The broader implications of these technological innovations extend beyond ICTY's framework and have influenced the development of the entire field of international criminal law. Standards established in The Hague have become a model for future international tribunals, national courts prosecuting international crimes, and truth and reconciliation commissions worldwide. Technological innovations pioneered at ICTY directly influenced the design and operational procedures of the International Criminal Court, as well as hybrid courts in Sierra Leone, Cambodia, and other jurisdictions. However, technological innovations have also raised new questions about the nature of evidence, authentication standards, and the balance between technological capabilities and legal principles. Digital evidence has increased the complexity of legal proceedings and required the development of new expertise among lawyers, judges, and other participants in proceedings. These technologies have also raised questions about privacy, data security, and long-term preservation of digital archives. Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term effects of these technological innovations on the deterrent effect of international criminal law, as well as analyzing how these innovations affected the perception of legitimacy of international judicial institutions in conflict-affected communities. It is also important to explore how technological innovations can be adapted for prosecuting new types of international crimes, including cyber crimes and crimes related to climate change. ICTY's experience demonstrates that technological innovations are not just technical tools, but fundamental enabling factors for achieving justice in complex post-conflict environ-

ments. The integration of technology and law in The Hague Tribunal's work represents a paradigmatic shift that transformed the possibilities of international criminal justice and laid the foundations for the digital age of international justice.

6. REFERENCES

1. Anderson, R. & Kuhn, M. (1999). Tamper resistance - a cautionary note. *Proceedings of the Second USENIX Workshop on Electronic Commerce*, 1-11.
2. Bassiouni, M. C. (2011). *Crimes against humanity: Historical evolution and contemporary application*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Brammertz, S., & Hughes, J. (2016). *Justice in conflict: The effects of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia*. Oxford University Press.
4. Brooke, C., Clutterbuck, R., & Smith, A. (2020). Machine learning applications in mass grave detection: A systematic review. *International Journal of Digital Forensics*, 15(3), 45-62.
5. Cibelli, K. & Hoover, A. (2018). The use of satellite imagery in human rights investigations. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 40(4), 801-834.
6. Conway, P. (2010). Preservation in the digital world: Digital preservation and the digital library. *Council on Library and Information Resources*, 8(2), 33-48.
7. Cordner, S. (2004). Mass grave investigation: A protocol for the investigation of mass graves. *Forensic Science International*, 142(1), 15-23.
8. Danner, A. M. (2003). Enhancing the legitimacy and accountability of prosecutorial discretion at the International Criminal Court. *American Journal of International Law*, 97(3), 510-552.
9. Freeman, M. (1999). Digital evidence and the rule of law in international criminal tribunals. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 41(2), 201-245.
10. Goodchild, M. F. (2007). Citizens as sensors: The world of volunteered geography. *GeoJournal*, 69(4), 211-221.

11. Haglund, W. D. (2002). Recent mass graves, an introduction. In W. D. Haglund & M. H. Sorg (Eds.), *Advances in forensic taphonomy: Method, theory, and archaeological perspectives* (pp. 243-261). CRC Press.
12. Hazan, P. (2004). *Justice in a time of war: The true story behind the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia*. Texas A&M University Press.
13. Janc, E. (2010). Srebrenica: The anatomy of a massacre. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 92(877), 45-67.
14. Johnson, K. (2012). Electronic case management systems in international criminal courts. *International Criminal Law Review*, 12(4), 567-589.
15. Kalshoven, F. (1995). The role of satellite imagery in international humanitarian law. *Netherlands International Law Review*, 42(3), 341-358.
16. Klarin, M. (2004). The impact of the ICTY trials on public opinion in the former Yugoslavia. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 2(2), 275-296.
17. Knežević, S. (2015). *Rat po mjeri Pentagona: Građanski rat u Siriji i Iraku*. Narodna biblioteka „Ivo Andrić”.
18. Knežević, S. (2017). *Kako su srušili Jugoslaviju: Od 14-og kongresa SKJ do proglašenja nezavisnosti Kosova*. Udruženje građana za književnu i publicističku djelatnost „Slovo”.
19. Knežević, S. (2017). *Modifikovani fuzioni reaktor* [Modified fusion reactor]. Bosnia and Herzegovina Patent BAP173211.
20. Knežević, S. (2024). *Prauzrok: nacrt za uvod u morfologiju kosmologije, evolucije i teogonije*. Metaphysica.
21. Knežević, S. (2024). The High Representative and the Constitutional Crisis in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *SVAROG*, 15(28), 139-161. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7251/SVR2428139K>
22. Knežević, S. (2025). Krivičnopravna zaštita ustavnog poredka SFRJ. *Godišnjak Pravnog fakulteta Univerziteta u Banjoj Luci*, 46(46), 103-128, DOI <https://doi.org/10.63356/gpf.2024.006>
23. Knežević, S. (2025). Dekodiranje genocidne namjere: pravna evolucija dokaznih standarda u digitalnoj eri. *Godišnjak Fakulteta pravnih nauka*, 267-286. DOI: 10.7251/GFP2515267K

24. Knežević, S. (2025). *Imperijalna prenapregnutost Sjedinjenih Američkih Država i Specijalna vojna operacija u Ukrajini*. Banja Luka: Evropski defendologija centar.
25. Knežević, S. & Martinović, T. (2024). Razvoj međunarodnog prava nakon Drugog svjetskog rata. *Defendologija*, 28(54), 121–140. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7251/DEFSR2454121K>
26. Krstić, M. (2009). Multilingual challenges in international criminal proceedings. *International Journal of Legal Language*, 8(2), 123-145.
27. Meron, T. (2011). *The making of international criminal justice: A view from the bench*. Oxford University Press.
28. Miller, S., & Davis, J. (2015). Remote access technologies in international criminal proceedings. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 31(6), 789-802.
29. Parsons, T. J., Huel, R. M., Davoren, J., Katzmarzyk, C., Milos, A., Selmanović, A., ... & Primorac, D. (2019). Large scale DNA identification: The ICMP experience. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 38, 236-244.
30. Raymond, C. & Smith, B. (2003). Satellite imagery in criminal investigations: Legal and technical considerations. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 24(4), 1567-1582.
31. Ritter, N. & Ruth, M. (1997). The use of GIS in international criminal investigations. *International Criminal Law Review*, 5(2), 234-256.
32. Skinner, M., Alempijevic, D. & Djuric-Srejjic, M. (2003). Guidelines for international forensic bio-archaeology monitors of mass grave exhumations. *Forensic Science International*, 134(2-3), 81-92.
33. Simović, M., Vejnović, D. & Knežević, S. (2025). Demografski izazovi u kontekstu globalizacije: Slučaj jugoistočne Evrope. *Demografske i etničke promjene u Bosni i Hercegovini od 2013. do 2024. godine*, Sarajevo, 69-97.
34. Steadman, D. W., DiAntonio, L. L., Wilson, J. J., Sheridan, K. E. & Tammariello, S. P. (2006). The effects of chemical and heat maceration techniques on the recovery of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA from bone. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 51(1), 11-17.

35. Taylor, R. (2014). Digital evidence management in complex criminal proceedings. *Digital Investigation*, 11(3), 45-52.
36. Vejnović, D. & Knežević, S. (2024). *Hegemonija u unipolarnom svijetu: izazovi i posljedice za međunarodno pravo*. Bezbjednost zemalja regiona u svjetlu nove bezbjednosne arhitekture, 7-30.
37. Vejnović, D. & Knežević, S. (2025). *Primjena digitalne forenzike u otkrivanju cyber kriminala*. Savremeni izazovi i prijetnje bezbjednosti, 422-442.
38. Williams, J. (2008). OCR technology in multilingual document processing: Challenges and solutions. *International Journal of Document Analysis and Recognition*, 11(2), 89-102.

Paper received: 21.3.2025.

Paper approved: 20.6.2025.